

"First, allow me to introduce the rest of the negotiating team."

■ Lithuania: The Baltic land is not seeking to establish independence, but to restore an independent state illegally suppressed by an occupier.

By VYTAUTAS LANDSBERGIS

There's no shortage of advice for solving the Lithuanian question these days. But to solve the prob-lem, one has to understand it. The latest trend, in both Sovici and Western circles, is to urge "a fair and objective" way out of the statemate for all parties con-cerned—the Soviet Union, Lithusnia and the Western governments, who are under public pressure to support, our drive for independ-ence. However, some of the offered solutions are neither fair nor objec-

Soviet spokesman argue that the unruly Lithuanians should respect and follow orderly Soviet constitu-tional procedures. After all, they concede, the Lithuanians are enticoncede, the Lithdamans are enti-tled to independence, if they want it. But as a "constituent part of the Soviet Union," they must abide by the procedures of Soviet law that will make their independence pos-

Herein lies the basic flaw in this argument. Lithuania is not seeking to establish independence, it is working to restore an independent state that had been illegally suppressed by a foreign power and its army. It is not calling for secession from the Soviet Union because it never, legally joined the Soviet Union. This is not just a legal nicety but the basic and non-negotlable premise of our March 11 declara-

tion of independence.
When Soviet spokesmen speak of the right to secession, this right does not apply to Lithuanias it is not legally tenable. The Battic countries, Lithuania included, have always maintained, and the world recognizes, that they were illegally incorporated into the Soviet Union. The Congress of People's Deputies of the Soviet Union last December itself declared illegal the document. that gave birth to the Soviet occu-pation, namely, the Molotov-Rib-bentrop Pact.

Under Soviet law, President Mikhail S. Gorbachev says that Lithuania's right to self-determination can be realized only through the mechanism that he approve and provides. After all, we are told, he is not denying our right to self-rule, he is merely contesting the pace of secession. But we don't believe he has any intention of letting Lithuania or the Battle states go through any secession law. The law gives the Congress of People's Deputies—to which, it must be recalled, Gorbachev ap-pointed himself and 99 of his Communist colleagues-the right to yeto secession by any Soviet re-public, even if two-thirds of its registered voters express their de-

sire for independence.

Though we are not bound by any Soviet constitution, our actions of March 11 were fully consistent with a constitutional provision that provides each Soviet republic's legislature with the right to secede. Moreover, the Soviet constitution states that the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics is a voluntary union of states.

If the Soviet Union enjoyed a system of checks and balances similar to the U.S. system, along with an independent Supreme Court that could rule on the legali-ty of decisions by the Soviet legis-lature and executive, then a "Soviet Supreme Court" would approve the restoration of independence in the Baltic states because their forcible incorporation contradicts the "voluntary union" clause of the Soviet Constitution and not permit the retroactive application of a

But that is precisely what the Congress of People's Deputies has tried to do by declaring our March 11 vote invalid because it contradicted a secession law that did not even exist at the time.

The April 5 secession legislation The April 5 secession legislation adopted by the Supreme Soviet states that, to secede, a republic must conduct a referendum. If no referendum was held in 1940 to determine whether we wished in nullify our independence and to join the Soviet Union, why is a referendum needed now to determine whether this forcible incorrection should be ended?

mme whether the forciole moor-poration should be ended?

We have no illusions about our economic dependence on Moscow, but we find it hard to understand why in this day and age, a master-slave relationship should continue or even be encouraged-surpris-ingly enough, by some Western friends—as the solution to the problem?

Our elections of Feb. 24 were democratic and legitimate. Pro-in-dependence candidates proposed by Sejudis: swept the elections under the campaign slogan of democracy and independence for

Lithuania. Sajudis' political plat.'
form differed from that of its main form different from that of its filant opponent, the breakaway Lithuanian Communist Party, in that it advocated complete political independence as soon as possible and normal, diplomatic relations with

normal, diplomatic relations with Moscow on equal footing, whereas, the party leadership still spoke in indeterminate terms of Lithuanian sovereignty "without the U.S.S.R." In addition to elections, the will of the Lithuanian people has been expressed many times at mass, demonstrations and in at least two major patition drives. In 1988, 1.8 major petition drives. In 1988, 1.8 million out of a population of 3.5 million rejected Soviet constitutional amendments that restricted, the republic's sovereign rights, Last year, 1.6 million called for the renunciation of the Molotov-Ribbentrop Pact and the withdrawal of ... Soviet troops from Lithuania. In: light of these political realities, how can we possibly plan and decide our destiny by Moscow's, rules and on Moscow's terms? We, eannot just brush off our mandate.

Moscow has expressed its displeasure in recent weeks with a barrage of verbal and physical threats, blockades and other acts of force against our people. If the Soviet Union continues to use force to crush and smother Lithuanian independence, if the military takes over the parliament and imposes martial law, if Gorbachev attempts to keep Lithuania in the Soviet fold as a hostile captive, we will all lose. He will prove that perestroits is a collection of failed policies and not a genuine transition to democracy. But if Gorbachev extends de-

mocracy to his own back yard and recognizes the democratically elected government of neighborhigod Lithuania, if he presides over the peaceful dissolution of the last living empire on this Earth, if he deals with us as equal, briendly partners, we will all benefit. And he would go down as a great man in

The real problem is not Lithua:" nian independence but how Mos-cow views itself. Our vote for freedom is forcing Moscow to take a a stand—for democracy or for preservation of the holy Soviet empire. Instead of facing the issue head on, the Soviet Union is focus, ing on a little antagonist, which was never an antagonist to begin with in this pitifully unequal battle. Moscow is its own worst

Vylautas Landsbergis is president of Lithuania. This article was trans lated by Ginte Damusis.